

The Commonwealth of Israel

Preached first on 3/01/2020 on www.molibertyradio.us

Hello again, everyone. As always, it makes me happy to know that there are people out there that have continued to listen to these messages each and every week. I realize that every preacher claims to be unique and presents what he believes to be the true way and the right way - I get that - I understand.

But as I have said to you many times before, perspective is everything. If a man believes he is supposed to be - commanded by God - to be a law-abiding, flag-waving, patriotic citizen of the United States of America, or Russia, or China - then his teaching from the Bible is going to be totally different than the preacher who believes - that he is commanded by God - NOT to be a law-abiding, flag-waving, patriotic citizen of any government - so-called - that is not named the commonwealth of Israel. Well, that man's teaching from the Bible is going to be totally different than the preacher with the opposing perspective. I mean, if a man believes that God commands him to obey earthly governments - then by all means - that man will have no problem whatsoever joining the military - or sending his sons and daughters off to join the military - so they can war against other man created governments and kill other so-called Christians. Of course. God has commanded that Christians kill each other as a result of obeying their earthly governments. Why, of course. God has ordained the slaughter of babies - I mean - the supreme court said it was perfectly fine - so why not Christians killing Christians in the name of war? How silly of me to think otherwise?

For years, in fact all my life, I've heard people say, "A man can twist the Bible into saying almost anything he wants it to say." Now, that statement has always been a head-scratcher to me - but - well - if a man believes that it's perfectly fine for him to have earthly citizenship - then - yes - he is going to see Scriptures that fit his viewpoint. Then, for those Scriptures that don't fit his viewpoint, he's going to ignore them. He's simply not going to preach from those passages. Then, if he ever gets challenged on those passages - more than likely - and friends - I've seen this for over 40 years - the "churchman" is more than likely going to respond by attacking the man who is bringing the question - mostly verbally - he'll berate the man and belittle him - until the man bringing the question either relents - or in some cases - is driven to another quote unquote "church."

I've seen this over and over and over. This is not anything new. This is quote "church" tradition.

I'm going to say this as gently as I can, not because I am afraid of speaking the truth - by now - you know that I am not shy about speaking what I believe is truth - but I want to say the following things gently, because I know there are people out there listening every single week - who may even still be attending quote "church" - but searching for and hopefully finding truth in the messages I've been preaching. But friends,

the word "pope" is not in the Bible. The word "mass" is not in the Bible. There is no such thing as "holy water" in the Bible. "Candle lighting" is not in the Bible. The word "catholic" the word "protestant" the word "Lutheran" is not in the Bible. The belief that participating in something called "The Lord's Supper" in which the wafer or the piece of bread literally transforms itself into the body of Christ - is just mind-boggling to me that people actually believe this is something that is Godly and sacred and good. Friends, the word "sacraments" is not in the Bible.

Men have taken to themselves today - and listen - it's not just the Catholics, it's all of them. The Baptists have quote "Sunday services." That's not in the Bible. They all - everyone of them - have boards of directors, presidents of the corporation, trustees - those things are not in the Bible. They have all created their own religions. They've created traditions. They've created quote "sacraments" and have bound men to obey those dogmas, those edicts, those inventions of their own minds, and for many many years in the history of mankind - men who have simply asked, "Where is your authority to do such things? Where does God's Word require such things?" Men who have asked - have been "excommunicated" another word not in the Scripture, they've been quote "shunned" - another "churchian term" that isn't in the Bible.

I understand banishment. I understand putting out of the community. I'm saying that men have created religions, complete with their own languages, their own terminologies - and almost every single one of them - have no origin in Scripture.

And a man that comes along and says, "Where is this in the Bible?" will be castigated - even today. Maybe not as fiercely as in the recent past - but he will be cast off.

Most of you know that I was raised in the quote "Baptist church." Though I was a staunch "Baptist" through and through - I was never one to follow the party-line. At just 7 years old, I knew in my little heart that the concept that the quote "jews were God's Chosen people" did not line up with Scripture. Well, let me say this, it just didn't line up in my spirit. I didn't know a whole lot of Bible at age 7, but something just didn't line up about that teaching. At the age of 7 years old, and friends, I remember this like it was yesterday, Lester Roloff climbed into the front seat of our car - my Dad was the driver,

Lester was the passenger and I was the little boy totally awestruck that I was in the same car with Lester Roloff. I sat there speechless - feeling that I was in the presence of a man of God unlike any I had ever seen or heard before.

It was not until many years later that I learned that this "hero" of mine, was actually despised by most of the other quote "preachers" in America. I have to believe that if Lester had not been - as many people suspect to this day - murdered by certain agents of Texas - he would have ended up preaching the present-day reality of the Kingdom of God to a greater extent than he ever did while he was playing "church."

I said that to say this, there are men in those quote "churches" who really think they are doing the work of God. But if they haven't even figured out that word "church" shouldn't even be in the Bible - let alone playing it - they are entangled in a religion that is nothing more - nothing less - than just a religion. It's not a system of belief that's founded on the truth of the Scripture. It IS a system of belief. No doubt about that. But it's nothing more than that. Ultimately, it's just a tinkling cymbal and a sounding brass.

It's ever learning, but NEVER able to come to the knowledge of the truth.

Listen, if a man does not preach that our citizenship is to be solely and completely in the commonwealth of Israel - if a man does not preach that the full implementation of the Kingdom of God occurred in the first century - he cannot possibly come to the understandings that produce saving faith - a system of belief based on "God is a spirit, and they that worship Him MUST - M-U-S-T - MUST worship Him in spirit and in truth.

The ignorant worship of God is not an excuse in the New Covenant World.

This is why I have said so many times before, there are only two sides to Romans 13 - and whichever side is wrong - it's not a matter of agree to disagree - the wrong side the Scriptures say - receives to themselves damnation. This is serious business. But so many people simply do not understand the gravity of the situation.

I've been showing now for, I believe this is the fourth or fifth week now, whether Circumcized (assuming that to be tracing the flesh to Abraham) or Uncircumcized, if a man is not a citizen of the commonwealth of Israel - then as plainly stated as ANYTHING can possibly be in the Scripture, that man has no hope, and is without God in the world.

Friends, if that's not serious business, I don't know what is.

And I'm telling you today, that every single quote "religion" in the world today - every one of them - would be in disagreement with what I'm saying Ephesians chapter 2 and I Peter 2 means. The reality is, today, I can probably count on two hands, how many other people that I know, that are actively preaching and teaching that citizenship in the commonwealth of Israel is the passport into the Kingdom of God.

We, they, me, we may not have all the nuts and bolts of the issue settled - as far as the practicality of it all - how it is to be implemented - but I'll assure you - we know - I know - that the commonwealth of Israel is Real - and it is the only legitimate - and I mean God ordained - Government in existence in the world today.

I know that part of the system of belief - faith - saving faith - the knowledge of the truth - contains as part of the whole counsel of God - the understanding that as God's people - His nation - we are to come out from among them - the nations of the world - the governments of the world - and be translated into the Kingdom of His dear Son.

I was speaking with Jeff this week and it is always exciting to hear how God is working in his life and how the Scriptures are speaking to him. And Jeff pointed me to Ephesians 2:10 to a particular word in that verse that jumped off the page and smacked him in the nose.

For we are his workmanship, created in Christ Jesus unto good works, which God hath before ordained that we should walk in them.

The key word there is "workmanship." For we are His "workmanship." After thinking about this for several days, I was drawn to the Words of Christ from Matthew 16. This was right after Peter had acknowledged that this Jesus, this Yeshua, was the Son of God, the King of kings, the Lord of lords.

And Simon Peter answered and said, Thou art the Christ, the Son of the living God.

[17] And Jesus answered and said unto him, Blessed art thou, Simon Barjona: for flesh and blood hath not revealed it unto thee, but my Father which is in heaven.

[18] And I say also unto thee, That thou art Peter, and upon this rock I will build my ecclesia; and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it.

[19] And I will give unto thee the keys of the kingdom of heaven: and whatsoever thou shalt bind on earth shall be bound in heaven: and whatsoever thou shalt loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven.

Christ - Jesus the Christ - Yeshua the Christ - had just told Peter about this "work" this "building" this "Kingdom" - that He was going to start building - that would be built out of Peter and others who had embraced the King.

Then, we find Paul in Ephesians chapter 2 confirming the "workmanship" of Christ that was being built from those faithful Ephesian believers and of those

strangers scattered throughout Pontus, Galatia, Cappadocia, Asia, and Bithynia, To whom coming, as unto a living stone, disallowed indeed of men, but chosen of God, and precious,

[5] Ye also, as lively stones, are built up a spiritual house, an holy priesthood, to offer up spiritual sacrifices, acceptable to God by Jesus Christ.

[6] Wherefore also it is contained in the scripture, Behold, I lay in Sion a chief corner stone, elect, precious: and he that believeth on him shall not be confounded.

[7] Unto you therefore which believe he is precious: but unto them which be disobedient, the stone which the builders disallowed, the same is made the head of the corner,

[8] And a stone of stumbling, and a rock of offence, even to them which stumble at the word, being disobedient: whereunto also they were appointed.

[9] But ye are a chosen generation, a royal priesthood, an holy nation, a peculiar people; that ye should shew forth the praises of him who hath called you out of darkness into his marvellous light:

[10] Which in time past were not a people, but are now the people of God: which had not obtained mercy, but now have obtained mercy.

This is Christ's workmanship. It's His Kingdom. It's His Father's nation. This is what Christians are supposed to be doing. This is where their citizenship is supposed to be. This is the city that all those

who died in faith, not having received the promises, but having seen them afar off, and were persuaded of them, and embraced them, and confessed that they were strangers and pilgrims on the earth.

[14] For they that say such things declare plainly that they seek a country.

[15] And truly, if they had been mindful of that country from whence they came out, they might have had opportunity to have returned.

[16] But now they desire a better country, that is, an heavenly: wherefore God is not ashamed to be called their God: for he hath prepared for them a city.

This is the New Heavens and the New Earth. This is the New Jerusalem, the heavenly Jerusalem.

But because of the lie of futurism, the lies of the quote "church" and the "churchmen" - we have been captured by and enslaved by held to act as citizens of governments that not only do NOT belong to Christ - but actively WAR AGAINST His workmanship.

I've just about had all I care to hear from people who claim to be followers of Jesus Christ who all but fall down and worship Donald Trump. I simply cannot wrap my head around this blindness.

Two or three weeks ago, I showed the evidence that Trump is the greatest supporter of those imposters in the Middle East. Those people do not even call themselves Israelites - they call themselves Israelis. They do call themselves "jews" - but friends - it's simple - has always been simple - if you are of the tribe of Judah - you are STILL required to have your faith - your system of belief - founded on Jesus - on Yeshua the Christ. Even IF you could trace your flesh and blood to Abraham - or even if you could trace your flesh and blood to Adam - if you are NOT in Christ - you are of your father the devil.

Revelation 2:9

I know thy works, and tribulation, and poverty, (but thou art rich) and I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Jews, and are not, but are the synagogue of Satan.

Revelation 3:9

Behold, I will make them of the synagogue of Satan, which say they are Jews, and are not, but do lie; behold, I will make them to come and worship before thy feet, and to know that I have loved thee.

What is the synagogue of Satan? It is a faith. It is a system of belief. All those who hold to the system of belief that "jews" who do not believe in Jesus, who do not believe in Yeshua the Christ, as the Son of God, the King of kings, the Lord of lords, those who hold to that system of belief - listen - it's not my words - it's not my thoughts - it's the Word of God - and go back to Wycliffe's translation in the 14th century, Tyndale and Coverdale's translation in the 16th century - those who say they are "jews" but are not in Christ - are the synagogue of Satan. And whoever promotes that system of belief - is also the synagogue of Satan.

Whosoever transgresseth, and abideth not in the doctrine of Christ, hath not God. He that abideth in the doctrine of Christ, he hath both the Father and the Son.

[10] If there come any unto you, and bring not this doctrine, receive him not into your house, neither bid him God speed:

[11] For he that biddeth him God speed is partaker of his evil deeds.

I was trying to be gentle a little bit ago, but this matter is of - in the words of Trump - HUGE - support Trump in his support of the synagogue of Satan - and YOU are partaker of his evil deeds. I can't be quiet about this. It hits too close to home. If you think supporting Trump - who is an active high ranking member in the synagogue of Satan - if you think supporting Trump will make America great again - then you must take the clear words of the Bible and remove them from the Book.

This past week or so, Trump appointed Richard Crenell to his quote "cabinet." Director of Intelligence. Really?

Trump did something Obama never did. In fact, Trump has done many things that Obama never did. Obama was never called "America's jewish president." Obama never got the red-flag gun laws passed - like Trump did within his first two years of being president. Now, Trump has appointed an openly practicing sodomite to his cabinet. Something Obama never did. And this is intelligence? From the Washington Examiner, May 31, 2019.

Here's Trump adviser *Kellyanne Conway in May 2019, less than a year ago:*

"I'm old enough to remember the 2008 campaign where Hillary and Obama were arguing with each other about who was more in favor of traditional marriage," Conway said on the White House driveway. "It wasn't so long ago. He is the first president to start as president approving of gay marriage," speaking of Donald Trump.

Shortly thereafter, President Trump tweeted: "As we celebrate LGBT Pride Month and recognize the outstanding contributions LGBT people have made to our great Nation, let us also stand in solidarity with the many LGBT people who live in dozens of countries worldwide that punish, imprison, or even execute individuals on the basis of their sexual orientation. My Administration has launched a global campaign to decriminalize homosexuality and invite all nations to join us in this effort!"

The tweets are an evolution away from Trump's arms-length approach to gay pride

month during his first two years in office, when Trump abandoned Obama's formal proclamations and avoided public comment.

Conway avoided commenting on Trump's reimposition of a military ban on transgender members, but she said that Trump feels strongly about decriminalizing homosexuality and that "it is something we discussed publicly and privately over a number of years."

"It is just a disgrace what happens to people based on their sexual orientation, particularly around the globe. Including countries where, by the way, a lot of media companies film their movies," Conway said.

[TRUMP'S Interview excerpt promoting sodomite marriage.]

[TRUMP'S Interview excerpt promoting Buttigieg's sodomy.]

"Well, Charlie, would you have preferred Hillary?" It's laughable. It's a non-issue. I don't prefer either. I have a King. I don't have a president. I'm trying to reach people who claim to be followers of Christ and take a step back and look at the situation in which they find themselves in - and ask - "Is this the workmanship of Christ?"

Is all this the vessel that Christ intends for me to be a part of?

We who call ourselves Christians, followers of Christ, have forsaken the workmanship of Christ - and in many ways - not just because of ignorance - not just because we've been lied to and many have mistakenly walked down the wrong path - but the sad reality is that many many many people actually PREFER the "workmanship of men" over the "workmanship of Christ."

We've traded a King for a president. We've traded citizenship in the commonwealth of Israel - a citizenship built with the very hands of Jesus Christ - for a citizenship built on the concept that since an issue has been settled by the supreme court of men - it's law - and it has to be accepted. Wow. This is exactly why we are in the shape we are in.

It is Christianity - that faith - that system of belief that is built on the truth of God's Word, the present day reality of the King and Kingdom, the truth of the requirement of REAL citizenship in the commonwealth of Israel - it is true Christianity that is the only thing powerful enough to turn the world right side up - and that true Christianity has been replaced with something called "churchianity" that resembles absolutely nothing of the "workmanship of Christ."

Christ never once built a quote "church." Never, not one time in the history of man since the first century - has a quote "church" been the workmanship of Christ. And only one time - that I know of - in the history of mankind since the first century - has a community - an ecclesia - been the workmanship of Christ. I am aware that there were more communities besides the New Haven Colony where men came together for the purpose of establishing Civil Government - and their Laws were made up exclusively of

the Laws of God under the Headship of Christ. The New Haven Colony covenant and its history is well within reach of anyone who wants to investigate what they did. I'm not saying New Haven is the only one, of course there are others, Christ built one in the first century - I'm just saying this one is a great example that I use.

The concept of the quote "divine right of kings" is a devilish, satanic, wicked creation of men. Though at one time reserved only to those who called themselves "kings or queens" because of a twisted, perverted, satanic, demonic creation of men in pursuit of their own religions, they have convinced a naive, gullible world to believe that the "divine right of kings" is equally applicable to any man, woman, or perverse amalgamation thereof, who happens to call themselves "government" - that they have been quote "ordained of God" to do whatever they want to anyone they want to - and not only have permission from God to do so - but are actually commanded by God to reign down terror on anyone who might oppose their wicked deeds.

Unbelievable. It is inconceivable to me that we have come to where we are in society today. But we are here because men have refused to study the Word of God for themselves, but instead have taken the easy road and have trusted another man to tell them what they are supposed to believe. To make them feel comfortable with their choices - even when something inside them may be stirring them to discomfort.

Most "churchians" can tell you every player on their favorite football team's roster. They can tell you the rules of their favorite games. But when questioned about their faith - their system of belief - most respond, "Well, let me ask my preacher and I'll get back to you." If I had an ounce of silver for every time someone has told me to "ask their preacher" I'd be a rich man. If I had an ounce of silver for every time someone has told me, "My church is different, or my preacher's different," I'd be a rich man.

A lady said something to me the other night that made me speechless. She stunned me to the point where I didn't even respond.

I found a quote "legal transcriber" to transcribe my last speaking to. And when she got it ready, she asked me to meet her at her quote "church" so she could give me the documents. She had obviously seen who the judge is in this instant and as she gave me the papers, she said, "Oh, you have a really good judge." I responded that had not been my experience. She said, "Well, you know, there are bad preachers, bad attorneys and bad judges." I just couldn't believe that she had said that, and that she had listed preachers first.

I've been trying for a couple weeks to address something that my wife had said to me after one of the messages concerning the commonwealth of Israel. And it looks like I'm finally going to get to it.

Going back to the study from I Peter 2, both of us have had a renewal concerning the word ordinance. That word had been settled for both of us for more than 30 years, but it was good to go back and look again. After 30 more years of perspective - the word still means Creation. It means something that has the Creator's (capital C) best interest at heart. It is fundamental to Creation (capital C). Ordinance is God's Creation, the way He intended things to be - and we are ALL to submit to His Will for His Creation.

As we were reading Ephesians 2, following the great commonwealth of Israel verse 12, we came to verse 13. Turn there with me please this morning. Ephesians 2:13

[13] But now in Christ Jesus ye who sometimes were far off are made nigh by the blood of Christ.

[14] For he is our peace, who hath made both one, and hath broken down the middle wall of partition between us;

[15] Having abolished in his flesh the enmity, even the law of commandments contained in ordinances; for to make in himself of twain one new man, so making peace;

Teresa knows that the Laws of God have not been done away with. But as this verse is written, she said it almost seems contradictory to I Peter 2:13. We are going to look at this closer today, but in a nutshell, this is talking about the Laws of God - the ordinances - concerning the sacrificial system of the Old Covenant. Part of the confusion here - and I told Teresa I hoped it wasn't deliberate - but as I've said many times before - I do not trust the translators of the 1611 KJV Bible. You have to know that even today - it pains me to say such a thing. I was raised a KJVer, a KJV only adherent. I was one of those people who used to say, "When Paul wrote the KJV," well, I don't say that anymore. I don't trust them because they gave us clear reason to suspect them. So, I do not take any words for granted, I study them all.

Is it possible that the KJV translators wanted us to believe that the Laws of God were replaced by the quote "laws of the earthly king?" It's highly possible.

But what about Tyndale and Coverdale? No. I don't suspect anything sinister from those guys - but I still investigate their translations with the same tenacity because maybe they made a mistake. Maybe the printer made a mistake. We've been very heavy and very deep in our message today - I'm going to step back for a second and lighten up.

Have you ever heard of the Printer's Bible, also known as the Wicked Bible, or the Adulterer's Bible?

Well, back in 1631, a printer made a mistake when he was setting the type. In Exodus 20:14, God intended to be understood as, "Thou shalt not commit adultery." The printer messed up and left out the word "not." So the text was printed, "Thou shalt commit adultery."

In 1702, a Bible was printed that was infamously called, The Printer's Bible.

The "Printers' Bible," a 1702 edition of the King James, contains an error in Psalm 119:16. Instead of saying "princes have persecuted me without a cause," David complains, 'printers have persecuted me without a cause.

The online version of the KJV that I use because it's so fast and easy to find passages, I've told you before, that I have found errors, misspelled words, typos, etc.

One might say, "Why would God allow such things to happen with the Bible?" Well, I have an answer - but guess what - it's not coming today.

So how then can we possibly know that what we are reading is accurate and what God intends for us to know? Is it hopeless? Yes and no. If a man approaches the Scriptures from a futuristic perspective - then yes - it just might be hopeless. He might need someone to help him, or there may be some traumatic event in his life that God uses to help him get his light bulb turned on. But for the most part, approaching the Scriptures - any of them - from that perspective is going to be problematic. Then, as the Scriptures say, those who are not or are unwilling to be - citizens of the commonwealth of Israel are going to have no hope and are going to be lost without God in the world.

The archbishop of Canterbury laid out his agenda in the 15 rules of translation. So we can see very clearly what was going on there. When an opponent, or an adversary, or even a friend, puts the rules of the relationship on the table at the outset, it makes things going forward a whole lot easier for everyone involved.

So, back to what is going on with the word ordinance?

In Ephesians 2:15

even the law of commandments contained in ordinances;

Guess what? Same English word as I Peter 2:13 - but not the same Greek word. Why do they do this? I have no idea. Is it because someone doesn't want the truth revealed? I have no idea. But why then? Why the same English word for a different Greek word?

The English translators from the very first translations beginning with Wycliffe, even, through all of them until Young's Translation in 1862 - all used the word "world" in Matthew 24:3. It wasn't until 1862, when an English Bible translated aeon as "age" instead of "world." Age is such a better translation and would have possibly eliminated just a ton of misunderstanding - in relation to Matthew 24:3 what shall be the sign of thy coming and of the end of the world.

The Greek word for ordinance in I Peter 2:13 is ktsis and it concerns Creation. I showed you already other times in the last 27 books of the Bible where ktsis WAS translated as Creation or Creator.

The Greek word for ordinances in Ephesians 2:15, that which was abolished, that which was nailed to the cross: is dogma. Well how interesting is that? What do we always equate the word dogma with? Things religious or things law? Strong's says from the base of 1380, a law (civil, ceremonial or ecclesiastical) decree, ordinance.

Webster's 1828 says:

[.] DOGMA, n. [Gr., to think; L.] A settled opinion; a principle, maxim or tenet; a doctrinal notion, particularly in matters of faith and philosophy; as the dogmas of the church; the dogmas of Plato. [.] [.] Compliment my dogma, and I will compliment yours.

I believe it doesn't take a much study to see that "ordinances" that Paul is speaking of in Ephesians 2:15 are those things that are specifically contained in the Law of God that centered around and required sacrifices. I believe that I can make a case that this includes the Laws concerning sacrifices -but I believe another set of quote "laws" can be included here, too. We'll get to that later.

Turn to Colossians 2 please and let's begin in verse 8.

Beware lest any man spoil you through philosophy and vain deceit, after the tradition of men, after the rudiments of the world, and not after Christ.

[9] For in him dwelleth all the fulness of the Godhead bodily.

[10] And ye are complete in him, which is the head of all principality and power:

[11] In whom also ye are circumcised with the circumcision made without hands, in putting off the body of the sins of the flesh by the circumcision of Christ:

[12] Buried with him in baptism, wherein also ye are risen with him through the faith of the operation of God, who hath raised him from the dead.

[13] And you, being dead in your sins and the uncircumcision of your flesh, hath he quickened together with him, having forgiven you all trespasses;

[14] Blotting out the handwriting of ordinances that was against us, which was contrary to us, and took it out of the way, nailing it to his cross;

The handwriting of ordinances that was against us. Now which Greek word do you think is used here? No surprises here. This one's easy. It's the same Greek word as found in Ephesians 2:15. We're talking about the same thing now - and we are definitely NOT talking about the "ordinance" of I Peter 2:13. Let's get deep here. Let's have some fun with this. To me, following this trail and seeing all this come together is a lot of fun. Turn to Hebrews 10. We'll begin in verse 1.

For the law having a shadow of good things to come, and not the very image of the things,

Alright stop. "Charlie, you are always saying the Law of God is good. We have to get back to the Law of God. The Law of God is the answer for all our problems. And Paul is saying the Law is a shadow, and not the very image..." Yes. Which Law?

For the law having a shadow of good things to come, and not the very image of the things, can never with those sacrifices which they offered year by year continually make the comers thereunto perfect.

Clearly now. We are talking about the Laws of God concerning the sacrifices. Remember now as we read this, we are looking for ordinances that were nailed to the cross. We are looking for ordinances that were torn down as the middle wall of partition. We are looking for ordinances that were against them. We are looking for ordinances that needed to be abolished, they were making enmity, verse 2.

[2] For then would they not have ceased to be offered? because that the worshippers once purged should have had no more conscience of sins.

[3] But in those sacrifices there is a remembrance again made of sins every year.

[4] For it is not possible that the blood of bulls and of goats should take away sins.

[5] Wherefore when he cometh into the world, he saith, Sacrifice and offering thou wouldest not, but a body hast thou prepared me:

[6] In burnt offerings and sacrifices for sin thou hast had no pleasure.

[7] Then said I, Lo, I come (in the volume of the book it is written of me,) to do thy will, O God.

[8] Above when he said, Sacrifice and offering and burnt offerings and offering for sin thou wouldest not, neither hadst pleasure therein; which are offered by the law;

[9] Then said he, Lo, I come to do thy will, O God. He taketh away the first, that he may establish the second.

[10] By the which will we are sanctified through the offering of the body of Jesus Christ once for all.

[11] And every priest standeth daily ministering and offering oftentimes the same sacrifices, which can never take away sins:

[12] But this man, after he had offered one sacrifice for sins for ever, sat down on the right hand of God;

Those ordinances surrounding the Old Covenant Laws of sacrifice were problematic. You could even say they were against them - they had to be done over and over - year

after year - they were certainly against them - and needed to be abolished and this man, this Jesus, this Yeshua - after He had offered one sacrifice for sins for ever, sat down on the right hand of God.

[15] And having spoiled principalities and powers, he made a shew of them openly, triumphing over them in it.

This dogma, this tenet of faith, must be believed, it must be a part of a man's system of belief - his faith - in order for that faith to be saving faith. He did away with the sacrificial ordinances, and He spoiled principalities and powers - made a shew of them openly triumphing over them in it. If a man does not believe that Jesus, that Yeshua, did this in the first century - that man does not have saving faith - his faith - his system of belief has to have this included - or it's not saving faith. He has faith. The jews have faith. Antichrists have faith. Atheists have faith. But they don't have saving faith. Because saving faith must be a system of belief based on the truth.

He did away with the Old Covenant World. He began His workmanship of the New Heavens and the New Earth in the first century and if we don't understand this and believe it - our faith is questionable at best - and false at worst. Continue with verse 13,

From henceforth expecting till his enemies be made his footstool.

[14] For by one offering he hath perfected for ever them that are sanctified.

[15] Whereof the Holy Ghost also is a witness to us: for after that he had said before,

[16] This is the covenant that I will make with them after those days, saith the Lord, I will put my laws into their hearts, and in their minds will I write them;

[17] And their sins and iniquities will I remember no more.

[18] Now where remission of these is, there is no more offering for sin.

[19] Having therefore, brethren, boldness to enter into the holiest by the blood of Jesus,

[20] By a new and living way, which he hath consecrated for us, through the veil, that is to say, his flesh;

This new and living way is the New Covenant World which replaced the Old Heavens and the Old Earth - it replaced earthly, physical Jerusalem, with the New Jerusalem, the heavenly Jerusalem. And if we don't believe this, if this is not part of our faith - our system of belief based on the truths of God's Word - then our faith - is not saving faith and we are yet in our sins and trespasses.

I want you to turn to Exodus 12. We will begin verse 1. We will not have time to look at every instance the word ordinance appears in the first 39 books of the Bible. But what I want you to understand is that the word ordinance belongs solely and completely to the nation of God. It is a word that belongs to the commonwealth of Israel - exclusively.

For the governments of this world to use the word ordinance in relation to their activities is the height of rebellion to the God of heaven. They are prohibited from the use of the word ordinance. In an attempt to legitimize their wickedness, they have taken other words that they have no right or claim to in addition to this one. I showed you a couple weeks ago from Webster's 1828 Dictionary where he said the word ordinance should NEVER NEVER - n-e-v-e-r be applied to acts of congress or acts of the legislatures of the states.

Yet today - at the forefront - leading the way in this rebellion against God - are the "churches" and the "churchmen" telling people that God Himself mandated obedience to quote "ordinance" and that "ordinance" is the creation of men. If you get nothing from this message at all today - I hope you take this - that I am saying that for men to use the word "ordinance" in reference to their quote "laws" is the same as sticking their fist in the face of God and telling Him where to go. Exodus 12:1,

[1] And Yahweh spake unto Moses and Aaron in the land of Egypt, saying,

[2] This month shall be unto you the beginning of months: it shall be the first month of the year to you.

[3] Speak ye unto all the congregation of Israel, saying,

Once again, there can no mistake whatsoever to what nation of people Yahweh is addressing in this passage. Notice also the word here is congregation, which means an assembly. Specifically an assembly that has come together to hear the Law of God.

In the tenth day of this month they shall take to them every man a lamb, according to the house of their fathers, a lamb for an house:

[4] And if the household be too little for the lamb, let him and his neighbour next unto his house take it according to the number of the souls; every man according to his eating shall make your count for the lamb.

[5] Your lamb shall be without blemish, a male of the first year: ye shall take it out from the sheep, or from the goats:

[6] And ye shall keep it up until the fourteenth day of the same month: and the whole assembly of the congregation of Israel shall kill it in the evening.

[7] And they shall take of the blood, and strike it on the two side posts and on the

upper door post of the houses, wherein they shall eat it.

[8] And they shall eat the flesh in that night, roast with fire, and unleavened bread; and with bitter herbs they shall eat it.

[9] Eat not of it raw, nor sodden at all with water, but roast with fire; his head with his legs, and with the purtenance thereof.

[10] And ye shall let nothing of it remain until the morning; and that which remaineth of it until the morning ye shall burn with fire.

[11] And thus shall ye eat it; with your loins girded, your shoes on your feet, and your staff in your hand; and ye shall eat it in haste: it is Yahweh's passover.

[12] For I will pass through the land of Egypt this night, and will smite all the firstborn in the land of Egypt, both man and beast; and against all the gods of Egypt I will execute judgment: I am Yahweh.

[13] And the blood shall be to you for a token upon the houses where ye are: and when I see the blood, I will pass over you, and the plague shall not be upon you to destroy you, when I smite the land of Egypt.

[14] And this day shall be unto you for a memorial; and ye shall keep it a feast to Yahweh throughout your generations; ye shall keep it a feast by an ordinance for ever.

There is no doubt this is what Paul is talking about in Colossians, Ephesians and Hebrews.

I want you to quickly turn to Leviticus 18. We are running out of time and I want to cover this. Verse 1:

[1] And Yahweh spake unto Moses, saying,

[2] Speak unto the children of Israel, and say unto them, I am Yahweh your God.

[3] After the doings of the land of Egypt, wherein ye dwelt, shall ye not do: and after the doings of the land of Canaan, whither I bring you, shall ye not do: neither shall ye walk in their ordinances.

Again. Thanks a lot KJV translators. This word "ordinances" does not come from the same Hebrew word referencing Yahweh's "ordinances." The ordinances in verse 3 does not come from the same Hebrew word as the ordinances of Yahweh. Two entirely different words. Verse 4.

[4] Ye shall do my judgments, and keep mine ordinances, to walk therein: I am Yahweh your God.

[5] Ye shall therefore keep my statutes, and my judgments: which if a man do, he

shall live in them: I am Yahweh.

[6] None of you shall approach to any that is near of kin to him, to uncover their nakedness: I am Yahweh.

[7] The nakedness of thy father, or the nakedness of thy mother, shalt thou not uncover: she is thy mother; thou shalt not uncover her nakedness.

[8] The nakedness of thy father's wife shalt thou not uncover: it is thy father's nakedness.

[9] The nakedness of thy sister, the daughter of thy father, or daughter of thy mother, whether she be born at home, or born abroad, even their nakedness thou shalt not uncover.

[10] The nakedness of thy son's daughter, or of thy daughter's daughter, even their nakedness thou shalt not uncover: for theirs is thine own nakedness.

[11] The nakedness of thy father's wife's daughter, begotten of thy father, she is thy sister, thou shalt not uncover her nakedness.

[12] Thou shalt not uncover the nakedness of thy father's sister: she is thy father's near kinswoman.

[13] Thou shalt not uncover the nakedness of thy mother's sister: for she is thy mother's near kinswoman.

[14] Thou shalt not uncover the nakedness of thy father's brother, thou shalt not approach to his wife: she is thine aunt.

[15] Thou shalt not uncover the nakedness of thy daughter in law: she is thy son's wife; thou shalt not uncover her nakedness.

[16] Thou shalt not uncover the nakedness of thy brother's wife: it is thy brother's nakedness.

[17] Thou shalt not uncover the nakedness of a woman and her daughter, neither shalt thou take her son's daughter, or her daughter's daughter, to uncover her nakedness; for they are her near kinswomen: it is wickedness.

[18] Neither shalt thou take a wife to her sister, to vex her, to uncover her nakedness, beside the other in her life time.

[19] Also thou shalt not approach unto a woman to uncover her nakedness, as long as she is put apart for her uncleanness.

[20] Moreover thou shalt not lie carnally with thy neighbour's wife, to defile thyself with her.

[21] And thou shalt not let any of thy seed pass through the fire to Molech, neither shalt thou profane the name of thy God: I am the LORD.

[22] Thou shalt not lie with mankind, as with womankind: it is abomination.

[23] Neither shalt thou lie with any beast to defile thyself therewith: neither shall any woman stand before a beast to lie down thereto: it is confusion.

[24] Defile not ye yourselves in any of these things: for in all these the nations are

defiled which I cast out before you:

Wow. I just saw that. Did you see that? It is specifically talking about the sins of homosexuality and He commanded Israel NOT to defile themselves with those things and because of those things the nations which were cast out. No wonder people today don't want to be citizens of the commonwealth of Israel. They prefer nations that specifically go against the Laws of God. Wow. I said a few minutes ago that I hope you get one thing from this message - I have to backtrack and hope this is something else you take away from this message today. Verse 25.

[25] And the land is defiled: therefore I do visit the iniquity thereof upon it, and the land itself vomiteth out her inhabitants.

[26] Ye shall therefore keep my statutes and my judgments, and shall not commit any of these abominations; neither any of your own nation, nor any stranger that sojourneth among you:

[27] (For all these abominations have the men of the land done, which were before you, and the land is defiled;)

[28] That the land spue not you out also, when ye defile it, as it spued out the nations that were before you.

[29] For whosoever shall commit any of these abominations, even the souls that commit them shall be cut off from among their people.

[30] Therefore shall ye keep mine ordinance, that ye commit not any one of these abominable customs, which were committed before you, and that ye defile not yourselves therein: I am Yahweh your God.

Now. In these several references to "ordinances" as in verse 30:

Therefore shall ye keep mine ordinance, that ye commit not any one of these abominable customs, which were committed before you, and that ye defile not yourselves therein: I am Yahweh your God.

Is this "ordinance" talking about or does it have anything whatsoever to do with a sacrifice? Absolutely not. This "ordinance" is as applicable today as it was back then. The "thou shalt nots" contained in Leviticus 18 are still for today. These are not ordinances that were taken out of the way. These were not ordinances that were nailed to the cross.

The ordinance - the **chuqqâh**, khook-kaw' from Exodus 12 is talking about sacrifices.

The ordinance - the **mishmereth**, mish-mer'-reth; from Leviticus 18 is not talking about sacrifices, but rather those things that the Creator has defined as good and evil - Laws - that are everlasting, apply from generation to generation.

Hopefully, someone has thought of an objection for me from Exodus 12. And let's deal with that and I'll save you an email. This is in relation to the sacrifices of Exodus 12 - and in the feasts where they were carried out. Specifically,

And this day shall be unto you for a memorial; and ye shall keep it a feast to Yahweh throughout your generations; ye shall keep it a feast by an ordinance for ever.

How is it that I say that this has ended for Israel? The text clearly says,

throughout your generations; ye shall keep it a feast by an ordinance for ever.

So how is it not for today? Once again, the answer comes in the proper understanding of the phrase found in Matthew 24:3 -

what shall be the sign of thy coming, and of the end of the world.

When you see the everlastings, and the throughout all your generations, and the forever in the first 39 books of the Bible - those everlastings, those throughout all your generations, the forevers - ended with the Old Covenant World.

That's part of the

"Behold, I make all things new."

That's understanding the Words of Christ, "Till heaven and earth pass, not one jot or one tittle shall in no wise pass from the Law, till all be fulfilled."

The heavens and the earth DID pass away. The heavens and the earth DID melt away with a fervent heat. Some of the "ordinances" found in the Old Covenant World were nailed to the cross. Some of those "ordinances" actually worked against God's people. They never could completely do the work that Christ did. And when He did His work - He finished what the Old could not do.

He did all that He did. He fulfilled all the purpose of Creation so that He could begin to

build His "workmanship." His Kingdom that was built on those who embraced Him in the first century. Those who came out from the world to be separated, called out, citizens of the commonwealth of Israel.

That's the foundation of His "workmanship." That foundation, as hard as men have tried to alter it, as hard as men have tried to deny it and destroy - that foundation has not and will not ever be changed. It was built upon a Rock. This, I believe, is the missing element to most men's faith today. Most men's faith today - their system of belief - has not been built on the truths of the Scripture - but upon their own traditions, their own customs and beliefs.

Friends, the commonwealth of Israel is Real. The Ordinance of God is real. Ordinances are the exclusive ownership of the commonwealth of Israel. If you belong to a government that has laid claim to ordinance, well, as much as I would prefer to tell people things that make them feel good, or things that would make them like me - I just can't do it.

The command to Israel from Leviticus 18 to separate themselves from nations that Yahweh has cast out because of the abominations they have embraced - and have made "law" because their "supreme court" has said so - is the same command that the apostles of Christ - who had yielded themselves as instruments to make up the workmanship of Christ - their words - were just mirrored copies of what Yahweh has always demanded of His people,

"Come out from among them and be ye separate, saith the Lord, and I will be a God to them and they will be my people. Come out from among them and be ye separate so that you be not partakers of their sins and receive the wrath thereof.

(For all these abominations have the men of the land done, which were before you, and the land is defiled;)

[28] That the land spue not you out also, when ye defile it, as it spued out the nations that were before you.

[29] For whosoever shall commit any of these abominations, even the souls that commit them shall be cut off from among their people.

How can we not plainly see that what is happening in America, right before our very eyes - is simply the Words of Yahweh once again coming to pass - "If you do this, then this is going to happen." The land spoken of in this passage does not have a name. It doesn't have a border or boundary. It applies equally throughout God's Creation.

American quote "preachers" can say all they want to that the Laws of God have been done away with. But that won't ever make it so. If all I had to do was show the simple comparisons that I've shown today - that should be all that's necessary to prove what ordinances are gone and what ordinances remain. The reality is I could spend the next 10 years showing more examples to prove my argument from God's Word.

Until people prefer the commonwealth of Israel and its King and its Laws over the nations they have created - we will continue in a downward spiral. I've said this before and I'll say it again. Things do not have to be the way they are. The apostles turned the world upside down in a relatively short period of time. We could see the same thing happen to our world, if we would only understand that citizenship with the world - is what has caused enmity with our God.